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#### Abstract

In this paper we present an approximation algorithm for the $p$-median problem that uses the principles of ant colony optimization technique. We introduce a search tree that keeps the partial solutions during the solution process of the $p$-median problem. An adaptation is proposed that allows ant colony optimization algorithm to perform on this tree and obtain good results in short time.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $G=(X, U)$ be an undirected graph, with the vertex set $X=$ $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ and the edge set $U=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$. We define two functions:
a) $v: X \rightarrow N$;
b) $\omega: U \rightarrow N$,
where $N=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$.
Values $v\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $\omega\left(u_{j}\right)$ are called weights of the vertex $x_{i} \in X$ and of the edge $u_{j} \in U$, respectively. We denote by $d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ the distance between vertices $x_{i}, x_{j} \in X[16]$ and consider the function $f: X \rightarrow N$ such that:
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$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{i}\right)=\sum_{x_{j} \in X} v\left(x_{j}\right) d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\forall x_{i} \in X$.

Definition 1 ([6]). The vertex $x^{*} \in X$ is called median of the graph if $f\left(x^{*}\right)=\min _{x \in X} f(x)$.


Figure 1. Vertices $x_{5}, x_{6}$ and $x_{7}$ are medians of the graph
According to this definition, the median of graph cannot be found univocally. For example, vertices $x_{5}, x_{6}$ and $x_{7}$ are the medians of the graph represented in Figure 1 (edges and vertices weights are equal to 1 ).

Let $A \subset X$ and an arbitrary vertex $x \in X$. We denote by $d(x, A)$ the distance between vertex $x$ and the set $A$. According to [16] $d(x, A)=\min _{y \in A}\{d(x, y)\}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{X}_{p}$ the family of all subsets of size $p$ of the set $X$, $1 \leq p \leq n=|X|$, and define function $f: \mathcal{X}_{p} \rightarrow N$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(A)=\sum_{x_{j} \in X} v\left(x_{j}\right) d\left(x_{j}, A\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{X}_{p}$. Function (2) is called median function.

Definition $2([16])$. Set $A^{*} \subset X,\left|A^{*}\right|=p$, is called $p$-median of the graph $G=(X, U)$, if the following relation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(A^{*}\right)=\min _{A \in \mathcal{X}_{p}} f(A)=\min _{A \in \mathcal{X}_{p}} \sum_{x_{j} \in X} p\left(x_{j}\right) d\left(x_{j}, A\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will study the $p$-median problem, where vertex and edge weights have arbitrary values.

## 2 Methods for solving the median problem on graphs

Finding the median of an undirected graph $G=(X, U)$ is a difficult discrete optimization problem. Being a $N P$-complete [9], [13], this problem has stimulated the interest of many researchers for building approximation algorithms for finding graph median. In this case it is necessary to know how good is the approximation of the obtained results using these methods.

There are some well known exact algorithms for finding $p$-median [3], [6], [7], [18], but their efficiency is limited to a certain size of the graphs. In case of the trees, the 1-median can be found in time $O(n)$ [11] and $p$-median in time $O\left(p n^{2}\right)$ using a dynamic programming algorithm [17]. Also in polynomial time the median for d-convex simple graphs [5] can be found.

There are many other techniques for solving this problem: genetic algorithm [1], branch and cut [4], scatter search [10], variable neighborhood search [12].

In this paper we show a modification of the algorithm presented in [14] that uses the principles of the ant colony algorithms. This type of algorithm was proposed by M. Dorigo, V. Maniezzo and A. Colorni, the algorithm is described in [8]. The first problem on which the ant colony optimization algorithm was applied was the travelling salesman problem.

The ant colony optimization algorithm is based on observation of ants, which are colony organised insects. Their activity is oriented
for the benefit of the whole colony. One important aspect is the way they build short paths between colony location and food sources. Ants deposit a substance called pheromone while walking. Pheromone indicates the path used by other ants. Each ant usually chooses a path with high concentration of pheromone. This represents an indirect way of communication called stigmergy. The environment has an important role to diminish the quantity of the pheromone. This leads to changing of attractiveness of choosing different paths.

## 3 Tree representation of the $p$-median search

The problem solution is searched by starting vertex elimination from the vertex set $X$ until $p$ elements remain. At each step a vertex is eliminated from $X$ according to some rules. All combinations of vertex elimination can be represented by a rooted tree, denoted by $T$.


Figure 2. Tree representation of the 2-median search
Each tree node corresponds to a subset of $X$ when from graph $G$ a number of vertices was eliminated and the search of $p$-median is done on the remaining vertices. The tree root $S_{0}$ corresponds to the vertex set $X$. Each arc from $S_{0}$ corresponds to the elimination of a vertex from $X$ and this vertex will not be a part of the solution. In this way, we pass from $S_{0}$ to $S_{1}^{j}$ on the level 1. There are $n=C_{n}^{1}$ nodes on the
first level of the tree $T$. The process is repeated for each node on the level $i=1,2, \ldots,(n-p+1)$. An example for the case $p=n-2$ is shown in Figure 2. The bottom level nodes represent all subsets of size $p$ of the vertex set $X$. The tree $T$ contains $1+A_{n}^{1}+A_{n}^{2}+\ldots+A_{n}^{n-p}$ nodes. Each node on the level $k$ has $n-k$ descendants, where $0 \leq k \leq n-p+1$.

In the tree $T$ a path between the root node, which corresponds to the set $S_{0}$ and any node of the level $n-p$, is considered a branch. Value of the median is among the values of median functions for the sets that correspond to the nodes of the level $n-p$.

Ant colony algorithm is used for finding a preferential branch in the tree $T$ that will lead to choosing a set $S_{n-p}^{*}$ as an approximate solution of the problem.

There are $r$ ants in the colony. Each ant searches the $p$-median traversing a branch of the tree $T$. An iteration corresponds to the situation when $r$ ants participate to find a branch in the tree. Obviously, some of these branches intersect other branches. At the end of an iteration, there will be some nodes with better values of the median function. These results will be used for building new preferential path in the tree $T$ that will help to find better solutions.

## 4 Reduced tree representation of the $p$-median search

The size of the tree described above can be reduced if we take into account some specific features of the problem. This feature will optimize the solution search process performed by ant colony algorithm.

Let $S_{k}^{j}, 1 \leq k \leq n-p$, be a node of the search tree, which is obtained from $S_{0}=X$ after a successive elimination of vertices in the following order $a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}$. In this case, we denote the node $S_{k}^{j}$ by $S_{k}^{\left(a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right)}$. The weight of this node is $\omega\left(S_{k}^{\left(a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right)}\right)$ which is equal to the value of function (2) for vertex set of graph $G$ that corresponds to the node $S_{k}^{\left(a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right)}$ from the tree $T$. Formally, this
can be written:

$$
\omega\left(S_{k}^{\left(a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right)}\right)=F\left(S_{k}^{\left(a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right)}\right)
$$

(here $F\left(S_{k}^{\left(a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right)}\right)$ represents the function value (2) for the set $\left.A=X \backslash\left\{a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right\}\right)$.

Elements elimination order from set $A$ does not affect the value of function (2) for a subset of vertices $A \subset X, A=X \backslash\left\{a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right\}$, so:
Theorem 1. Let the set $S_{k}^{\left(a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right)}$ is obtained from the set $S_{0}$ after successive elimination of elementents $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}$, and the set $S_{k}^{\left(a_{i}^{*}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}^{*}}\right)}$ is obtained after a different elimination order of the same elements, then these two sets satisfy the following relation:

$$
F\left(S_{k}^{\left(a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right)}\right)=F\left(S_{k}^{\left(a_{i_{1}^{*}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}^{*}}\right)}\right)
$$



Figure 3. Reduced tree representation of the 2-median search
This gives us the possibility to build a new tree $T^{*}$ which has a smaller size than $T$. It is obtained from $T$ after removing some nodes that give identical results. A reduced search tree for case $p=n-2$ is represented in Figure 3.


Figure 4. Reduced tree representation for the case $n=7$ and $p=4$
$p+1$ arcs start from the root node $x_{S_{0}}^{T^{*}}$. These arcs correspond to exclusion of elements $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{p+1}$ from $S_{0}$ and make connection
 to the sets $S_{1}^{\left(a_{1}\right)}=\left\{a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}, S_{1}^{\left(a_{2}\right)}=\left\{a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}, \ldots, S_{1}^{\left(a_{p+1}\right)}=$ $\left\{a_{p+2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$, respectively.

At the tree level $1, p+1$ arcs start from the node $x_{S_{1}^{\left(a_{1}\right)}}^{T^{*}}$, and they indicate the possibility of removing of the following elements from $S_{1}^{\left(a_{1}\right)}$ : $a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{p+2}$.

It is the node $x_{S_{1}^{\left(a_{2}\right)}}^{T^{*}}$ from which $p$ arcs start, and they indicate the possibility of removing of the following elements from $S_{1}^{\left(a_{2}\right)}$ : $a_{3}, \ldots, a_{p+2}$.

It is the node $x_{S_{1}^{\left(a_{3}\right)}}^{T^{*}}$ from which $p-1$ arcs start, and they indicate the possibility of removing of the following elements from $S_{1}^{\left(a_{3}\right)}$ :
$a_{4}, \ldots, a_{p+2}$.
It is the node $x_{S_{1}^{T^{*}}}^{\left(a_{p+1}\right)}$ from which one arc starts, and it indicates the possibility of removing of the element $a_{p+2}$ from $S_{1}^{\left(a_{p+1}\right)}$.

The process continues until the level $n-p$ of the tree $T$. There are $C_{n}^{p}$ nodes on the last level.

There is an example in Figure 4, where $n=7$ and $p=4$, and in Figure 5 it is shown what happens when element $x_{1}$ is removed.


Figure 5. Prunning vertex $x_{1}$ from the search process

Theorem 2. Let the graph $G=(X, U)$, where $|X|=n$, has the subsets $Y_{k} \in X,\left(\left|Y_{k}\right|=k, k>1\right)$ and $Y_{k-1}=Y_{k} \backslash\left\{x_{i}^{*}\right\}$, then:

$$
F\left(Y_{k}\right) \leq F\left(Y_{k-1}\right)
$$

Proof. There are two possible cases:
a) eliminated vertex $x_{i}^{*}$ from the subset $Y_{k}$ has in its neighbourhood only elements from $Y_{k}$. So, the shortest path that connects each element
of the set $X \backslash Y_{k}$ to one of the elements of the subset $Y_{k}$ remains unchanged and the following sum does not change: $\sum_{x_{i} \in X \backslash Y_{k}} d\left(x_{i}, Y_{k}\right)$, and $F\left(Y_{k-1}\right)=F\left(Y_{k}\right)+\min _{x_{i} \in \Gamma\left(x_{i}^{*}\right)} d\left(x_{i}, x_{i}^{*}\right)$;
b) one of the following two relations is true: (i) $\Gamma\left(x_{i}^{*}\right) \subset X \backslash Y_{k}$ or (ii) $\Gamma\left(x_{i}^{*}\right) \cap\left\{X \backslash Y_{k}\right\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\Gamma\left(x_{i}^{*}\right) \cap\left\{Y_{k}\right\} \neq \emptyset$. Then paths of minimal length between some vertices from $X \backslash Y_{k}$ and $x_{i}^{*}$ disappear and there is a necessity to establish new paths of minimal length, that make connections with vertices from $Y_{k-1}$. New paths are longer than the initial paths. A new connection between vertex $x_{i}^{*}$ and $y_{i} \in Y_{k-1}$ and $y_{i} \in Y_{k-1}$ is built.

## 5 Implementation of ant colony algorithm for the $p$-median problem

The role of ants is to use the reduced tree described above for finding a good approximate solution. The size of tree used in the solving process should be as small as possible.

This reduced tree is denoted by $T^{*}$ and it is built iteratively. At first, the tree $T^{*}$ has only the root node that corresponds to the set $S_{0}$. Each ant chooses, with some probability, an element $a_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n-p+1$ for removing from this set. The chosen element will not be examined further by the ant as part of its solution. Eliminations are done until there are $n-p$ elements from the original set. The eliminated elements form a branch in the tree $T^{*}$. To each element $x_{i} \in S_{0}$ it is attributed a value $\tau_{i}$ that represents quantity of pheromone. Initially, the quantity of the pheromone is equal for every element. The pheromone influences the way how elements are chosen for removing from the set $S_{k}$.

The probability to choose element $i$ at stage $k$ that represents elimination of element $x_{i} \in S_{k}$, is [8]:

$$
p_{i}(k)=\frac{\left[\tau_{i}\right]^{\alpha}\left[\eta_{i}(k+1)\right]^{\beta}}{\sum_{l=1}^{\left|S_{k}\right|}\left[\tau_{l}\right]^{\alpha}\left[\eta_{l}(k+1)\right]^{\beta}},
$$

where $\eta_{i}(k+1)=1 / F\left(S_{k} \backslash\left\{a_{i}\right\}\right)$.
The quantity of pheromone deposited by each ant at the end of one iteration is:

$$
\Delta \tau_{i}^{j}= \begin{cases}1 / F^{j}\left(S_{n-p}\right) & , \text { if } x_{i} \in S_{n-p} \\ 0 & , \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $F^{j}\left(S_{n-p}\right)$ is the solution obtained by the ant $j$.
Evaporation and addition of pheromone is realized in the following way:

$$
\tau_{i} \leftarrow(1-\rho) \tau_{i}+\Delta \tau_{i}
$$

where $\Delta \tau_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \Delta \tau_{i}^{j}, r$ is the number of ants and $\rho$ is the evaporation coefficient.

According to Theorem 2, the elimination of one element from $S_{k}$ leads to rising of value $F\left(S_{k-1}\right)$. This helps us to build a branch-andbound algorithm.

### 5.1 Improving the obtained solution

The solution can be improved if the vertex set $X$ of the graph $G=(X, U)$ is partitioned into $p$ subsets: $X_{i}, i=\overline{1, p}$. Let $S=$ $\left\{x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}, \ldots, x_{i_{p}}\right\}$ be an approximate solution.

Each subset $X_{j}, i=\overline{1, p}$, consists of one vertex $x_{i_{j}}$ contained in the solution $S$ and the closest to it vertexes from the set $G \backslash S$. The 1-median of each subgraph $G_{i}=\left(X_{i}, U_{i}\right)$ is found. The set $S_{1}$ of obtained 1-medians could be considered a new approximate solution if $F(S)>F\left(S_{1}\right)$.

### 5.2 Ant colony optimization algorithm on the reduced search algorithm (ACORST)

All operations are done on the tree $T^{*}$.

1. Let $n r$ Iterations be the maximal number of iterations, $n r$ Ants - the number of ants, Rec $=\infty$ - the initial value of the searched ${ }^{\text {‘ }}$ solution.
2. iteration $:=0$;
3. $i d A n t:=0$;
4. $k:=0$;
5. Ant $i d A n t$ starts from the root node $x_{0}$;
6. If for the current node $x_{k}$ there are no child nodes of the level $k+1$, then the neigbourhood set $\Gamma_{x_{k}}^{+}$is built;
7. For each node $x_{k+1} \in \Gamma_{x_{k}}^{+}$the value $F\left(S_{k+1}\right)$ is calculated;
8. If there are nodes $x_{k+1}$ for which Rec $<F\left(S_{k+1}\right)$, then the node $x_{k+1}$ and its subtree are removed and will not be examined further;
9. A node $x_{k+1} \in \Gamma_{x_{k}}^{+}$is chosen with probability $p$ described above;
10. If $\Gamma_{x_{k}}^{+}=\emptyset$, then we pass to the step 13 ;
11. $k:=k+1$;
12. If $k<n-p-1$, then we pass to the step 6 ;
13. If $k=n-p-1$, then improve the solution using the algorithm described in the section 5.1 and then $r e c=\min _{x_{k+1} \in \Gamma_{x_{k}}^{+}} F\left(S_{k+1}\right)$. If $r e c<R e c$, then $R e c:=r e c$;
14. If there are nodes $x_{k+1}$, for which $\operatorname{Rec}<F\left(S_{k+1}\right)$, then the node $x_{k+1}$ and its subtree is removed and will not be examined further;
15. $k:=k-1$;
16. If $k>0$, then we pass to the step 14 ;
17. $i d A n t:=i d A n t+1$;
18. If $i d A n t<n r A n t s$, then we pass to the step 4 ;
19. iteration $:=$ iteration +1 ;
20. If the tree $T^{*}$ has only the root node $x_{0}$, then STOP;
21. If iteration $<$ nrIterations, then we pass to the step 3 , else STOP.

## 6 Experimental results

The OR Library was chosen for tests [2]. Here the results of ACORST algorithm and the results of the implementation of ACO algorithm [14] are produced. The tests were performed on a Pentium Dual Core 2.2 GHz PC with 3 GB memory. The algorithms were implemented in $\mathrm{C}++$ and the codes were compiled with gcc 4.5 .0 compiler with optimization flag -O2. For both algorithms the ant colony consists of 30 ants and the number of iterations is limited to 40. In the Table 1 the best results obtained after 50 runs of the algorithm for each instance and the mean running time in seconds are produced.

Table 1. Results of ACORST and ACO algorithms

| Test | n | p | Optimal | ACORST | Time | ACO | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pmed1 | 100 | 5 | 5819 | 5819 | 0.427 | 5819 | 3.214 |
| pmed2 | 100 | 10 | 4093 | 4247 | 0.597 | 4093 | 3.097 |
| pmed3 | 100 | 10 | 4250 | 4279 | 0.646 | 4273 | 3.11 |
| pmed4 | 100 | 20 | 3034 | 3312 | 1.105 | 3050 | 2.461 |
| pmed5 | 100 | 33 | 1355 | 1463 | 1.354 | 1357 | 2.211 |
| pmed6 | 200 | 5 | 7824 | 7877 | 2.047 | 7824 | 24.554 |
| pmed7 | 200 | 10 | 5631 | 5854 | 2.434 | 5645 | 25.641 |
| pmed8 | 200 | 20 | 4445 | 4824 | 4.087 | 4479 | 23.601 |
| pmed9 | 200 | 40 | 2734 | 3036 | 7.168 | 2797 | 21.064 |
| pmed10 | 200 | 67 | 1255 | 1331 | 14.466 | 1288 | 16.483 |
| pmed11 | 300 | 5 | 7696 | 7721 | 6.117 | 7696 | 93.177 |
| pmed12 | 300 | 10 | 6634 | 6948 | 8.075 | 6657 | 86.424 |
| pmed13 | 300 | 30 | 4374 | 4900 | 16.89 | 4449 | 83.907 |
| pmed14 | 300 | 60 | 2968 | 3341 | 26.247 | 3057 | 74.346 |
| pmed15 | 300 | 100 | 1729 | 1987 | 35.965 | 1773 | 52.85 |
| pmed16 | 400 | 5 | 8162 | 8314 | 12.494 | 8162 | 245.656 |
| pmed17 | 400 | 10 | 6999 | 7262 | 12.956 | 7010 | 226.541 |
| pmed18 | 400 | 40 | 4809 | 5157 | 30.153 | 4906 | 213.587 |
| pmed19 | 400 | 67 | 2845 | 3713 | 40.772 | 3319 | 193.144 |
| pmed20 | 400 | 133 | 1789 | 1866 | 76.547 | 1820 | 132.204 |

The ACO algorithm has slightly better solutions than ACORST, but the running time is worse. The running time of our algorithm is proportional to $p$ for fixed $n$.

## 7 Conclusion

We proposed an algorithm for the $p$-median problem using ant colony optimization technique. The algorithm is based on using a tree for keeping track of vertex removals and for pruning bad solutions. The algorithm gives good results for $p<\frac{n}{2}$ and there is a comparison table with results obtained by ACO algorithm.
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