\_\_\_\_\_\_

## GRAMMATICAL AND LEXICAL TRANSLATION AS AN ASPECT OF COMPARATIVE LINGUISTICS

## **Dumitru MELENCIUC**

State University of Moldova

In translation process it is necessary to take into account lexical semantic structures, grammatical and lexical and grammatical categories used in confronted languages. Lexical semantic structures and grammatical categories are constantly evolving and changing. Certain forms of grammatical categories and most polysemantic lexemes present difficulties. Well trained translators need to know perfectly the languages used in translation. Their subjective contribution is very important. Linguistic and extralinguistic reality in intra-linguistic, inter-semiotic and inter-language translation needs to be considered. Deliberate changes in the text, motivated by extra-linguistic causes to create various images are possible in translation.

**Key words:** semantic, intra-linguistic, inter-semiotic, inter-lingual, extra-linguistic structure, categorial meaning.

În procesul de traducere este necesar să se ia în considerație structurile semantice lexicale, categoriile gramaticale și lexical-gramaticale folosite în limbile confruntate. Structurile semantice lexicale și categoriile gramaticale sunt în permanentă evoluție și schimbare. Anumite forme categoriale gramaticale și majoritatea lexemelor polisemantice prezintă dificultăți. Translatorii bine pregătiți necesită să cunoască perfect limbile folosite în procesul traducerii. Contribuția lor subiectivă este foarte importantă. Necesită să fie luată în considerație realitatea lingvistică și extralingvistică în traducerea intra-lingvistică, inter-semiotică și inter-linguală. Modificările intenționate ale textului, motivate de cauze extra-lingvistice pentru a crea diverse imagini, sunt posibile în traducere.

**Cuvinte-cheie:** structură semantică, intra-lingvistică, inter-semiotică, inter-linguală, extra-lingvistică, sens categorial.

It is essential to undertake a detailed analysis in case of translating fiction texts on which the ethic stage of contrastive linguistics is usually based on. In case of a good translation the teller of the story is himself a good writer to be able to write well in his own language and have enough talent to catch the spirit of the original, to retell the story plausibly and approximate it to the original. As to morphology it is less satisfactory because here the two systems diverge even in cognate languages for the translator to do justice to the structure of the original text. Fiction is different from what happens when people translate the English we speak with or intellective prose. In case of a literary text the task of confrontational linguistics is to go much deeper into the language. We should draw a distinct line between "intellective information" and the use of language as a poetic device, as a means by which to produce an esthetic impact. There are linguists, who are great masters of style. Their texts are not merely informative, but also endowed with considerable artful merit. There are aspects of translation, which admit of purely linguistic approach, and involving two or more languages, R. Jakobson in "On Linguistic Aspects of Translation" gives three kinds of translation:

1) Intralingual translation or rewording as an interpretation of verbal signs by means of other signs of the same language;

\_\_\_\_\_

2) Interlingual translation or translation proper is an interpretation of verbal signs by means of some other language;

3) Intersemiotic translation or transmutaion is an interpretation of verbal signs by means of nonverbal sign systems. (Mednicova 3)

What is the role and place of affinity or lack of affinity between languages? Some languages, either because of genetic identity or because of certain sociolinguistic, historic and other circumstances are readily confronted, while others are not. There is much greater affinity between English, French and Romanian, than between English and Chinese. Let's take an example from Oscar Wilde (The Picture of Dorian Gray): The studio was filled with the rich odor of roses, and when the light summer wind stirred amidst the trees of the garden there came through the open door the heavy scent of the lilac or the more delicate perfume of the pink-flowering thorn. The Romanian translation: O aromă amețitoare de trandafiri plutea prin atelierul pictorului, iar atunci când în grădină se iscă o boare uşoară, ea aducea cu sine pe uşa deschisă un parfum îmbătător de liliac înflorit sau o mireasmă suavă de flori de gherghinari.

We retranslate the target-language text back into the source language: A stunning aroma of roses floated through the painter's studio and when in the garden arose a light breeze it brought with it through the open door, the intoxicating perfume of lilac in blossom or the sweet fragrance of the red flowers of hawthorn. The "information" contained in this extract is not only very simple but easily rendered in any other language. We can convey it into Russian: аромат роз врывался в студию всякий раз, когда в саду дул лёгкий ветерок и он через открытую дверь также нес с собой одурманивающий аромат цветущей сирени. Or merely restating it as: Somebody is sitting in a studio, the windows are open, there is a lovely garden outside, there are beautiful flowers there and the smell of these flowers is wafted into the studio by a light breeze, whenever there is a breeze to waft them into the studio.

When we compare the "retranslation" with the original text we find that the Romanian text does not exactly render the individual stylistic features of Oscar Wilde's style. Syntactic, rhythmical, lexical and phonetic analysis - when all the words are carefully weighed, when the assonances and other phonetic arrangements, the functional perspective, etc. are carefully confronted, the immediate "etic" confrontation falls to the ground, where, in the target text there is the skilful use of synonyms: odor, scent, perfume! The light summer wind is not a breeze; it is a "summer wind" and it does not simply arise, appear or start, but "stirred amidst the trees".

The translation of a short paragraph into different languages provides us with a number of variations on the subject. The "intellective" information will be rendered into all of them. It is an attempt to retell the story. Let us take the passage in its Russian translation: "Густой аромат роз наполнял мастерскую художника, а когда в саду поднимался легкий ветерок, он, влетая в открытую дверь, приносил с собой то пьянящий запах сирени, то нежиое благоухание алых цветов боярышника". We shall have no difficulty in finding that in English "the studio was filled with the rich odor of roses" - a sentence which could quite well be translated as мастерская художника была наполнена... Густой аромат is doubtful as a word-combination. Besides the rich odor of roses cannot be thick or dense. "Stirred amidst the trees" – "поднимался"; thus when "the light summer wind stirred amidst the trees of the garden" is in Russian "когда в саду

поднимался легкий ветерок, он, влетая в открытую дверь" - this of, course, has nothing to do with the English text. The whole thing is completely changed because the Russian text says something like the following the thick odor of roses filled the studio and when in the garden there rose a light summer wind, then floating through the open door it brought with it either the intoxicating smell of lilac or the tender (благоухание) perfume of the red flower of hawthorn. Why "the heavy scent of the lilac" or "the more delicate perfume of the pink-flowering thorn" is changed in Russian into constructions with "now... now": приносил с собой то пьянящий запах сирени, то нежное благоухание алых цветов боярышника. This separates the two scents, keeps them carefully apart by the orderly light wind. An adequate translation should make the Russian reader believe that what he is reading is Oscar Wilde, something elegant and refined. Translation is a creative work; it is a most valuable asset. It is an important part of the general cultural tradition of humanity in general. Philological science has no means of defining literary talent and telling us how the gift of finding "le mot juste" and bringing it together with other 'mots justes' and thus creating images and providing esthetic impacts is actually achieved, for all this is beyond the pale of the linguostylist. Translation of fiction is something that is different from what happens when people, translate "the English we use", "the English we speak with" or intellective prose. The task of confrontational linguistics is to go much deeper into language than before.

We should distinguish between "intellective information" and the use of language as a poetic device, used to produce an esthetic impact. This division is necessary, because without it translation as a process and as an already existing text becomes impossible. There are scientists, great masters of style, who write in a way which it would be difficult to classify merely as intellective information. Their texts are informative and also endowed with artistic merit. Let's take an extract from the writings of Emile Benveniste:

Il est survenu au cours de ces dernières années dans les études portant sur le langage et les langues des changements considérables et dont la portée dépasse même l'horizon pourtant très vaste de la linguistique. Ces changements ne se comprennent pas d'emblée; ils se dérobent dans leur manifestation même; à la longue ils ont rendu beaucoup plus malaisé l'accès des travaux originaux, qui se hérissent d'une terminologie de plus en plus technique. C'est un fait: on éprouve grande difficulté à lire les études des linguistes, mais plus encore à comprendre leurs préoccupations. A quoi tendent-ils, et que font-il de ce qui est le bien de tous les hommes et ne cesse d'attirer leur curiosité: le langage? (Benveniste, 1966)

## The Russian translation:

В течение последних лет исследования языка и языков претерпели значительные изменения, которые заставляют еще шире раздвинуть и без того очень широкие горизонты лингвистики. Сущность этих изменений нельзя понять с первого взгляда, они подспудны и проявляются, в конечном счете, во все большей труднодоступности оригинальных работ, которые все больше переполняются специальной терминологией. В самом деле, трудно читать сочинения лингвистов, но еще труднее понять, что они

\_\_\_\_\_\_

хотят. Какова их цель? Как относятся они к этому величайшему достоянию людей, постоянно вызывающему у человека неослабленный интерес - к языку? (Бенвенист, 1974)

The English translation of the text is as follows:

During the course of these last years, extensive changes, whose scope extends even beyond the already very broad horizon of linguistics, have taken place in studies dealing with language and languages. These changes may not be understood all at once - they elude one even as they appear. In the long run, they have made difficult the approach to new works, which bristle with an increasingly technical terminology. It is a fact that great difficulty is experienced in reading of linguists and even more in comprehending their concerns, what are they aiming at and what are they doing with what is the property of all men and never ceases to attract their curiosity -language? (Benveniste, 1971)

« II est survenu » - The author did not say, for instance, au cours de ces dernières années dans les études portant sur le langage..il est survenu, or les études portant sur le langage au cours de ces dernières années on vu deschangements. So here the foregrounding is very interesting and should be retained if possible. Il est survenu au cours de ces années - in English it would be very easy to translate: There have taken place in the course of these last years in the studies which bear on language and languages considerable changes, the importance of which goes beyond even the extremely wide horizon of linguistics? It is easier to translate it into English and Romanian. A translator is always faced with difficulties the moment the text ceases to be a simple transmission of intellective, preferably technical information. The moment the writer, even of a scientific text displays stylistic mastery, writes in a way which shows him to be a master of style, and can find pleasure and esthetic satisfaction in producing in his own language something that will be on a par with the original. What we are talking about is that most of the time they did not result in equivalent texts being produced in the target languages and could not properly be used for confrontation and analytical comparison. Some languages, either because of genetic identity or certain sociolinguistic, historic and various circumstances are readily confronted, while others are not. It is easier to translate Benveniste's text from French into English and Romanian than into Chinese, because of the existing affinity between French, Romanian and English. Besides, E. Benveniste can write in very good English. If a writer is fluent in two or more languages then there must be a hidden interaction between these proficiencies, which facilitates transposition.

When we deal with cognate languages on the grammatical morphological level we cannot help asking: why is *perfectul simplu* in Romanian not used regularly, why is it replaced in speech and non-fiction texts by a stylistically more natural form - *perfectul compus*? It is not always easy to explain this difference only by stylistic factors. When we compare English original texts with their translations into French and Romanian we always find great similarity: *He entered the shop below; Il entra dans le magasin qui se trouvait au rez-de-chaussée; Intră în prăvălia de la parter. Soames followed another method; Soames adopta une autre méthode; Soames adoptă o altă metodă. He went on thinking; Il continua à songer; El continuă să chibzuiască.* (Goldsworthy) The examples show the

positive result of this confrontation: past indefinite – passé simple – perfectul simplu are practically identical, both from the point of view of synchronic functional confrontation and the historical community of morphological systems. When confronting English, French and Romanian, we concentrate on the original identity and approach confrontation with preconceived ideas of potential correspondences already formed in advance. We should apply to Romanian what some specialists say of a comparatively low frequency of "passé simple" in French, the ousting of it by the form of passé composé. Perfectul simplu is also functionally limited and is replaced in speech by *perfectul compus*. There is every reason to believe that to try and explain this difference only by stylistic factors would not be easy. This will be possible only if the non-coincidences were confined to colloquial style. Thus, for example: When did they go over? Când au emigrat? Quand ont-ils émigré?; Why, I did sew it with white, Tom! Neagră, Tom! Cu ață albă i-am cusut. Noir?, je les ai cousu avec du fil blanc. You were absolutely right; Ai avut perfectă dreptate; Tu a eu raison, Michel. All these sentences belong to the colloquial style, where passé composé and perfectul compus are better suited, because these forms here do not express an anterior action to the present moment and the actions are not connected with the present moment and here they express actions completely identical with those expressed by past indefinite. (Melenciuc, 2003: 127) The same relationship is found in scientific texts: They recognized what was to become the basic principle of modern linguistics; Ei au recunoscut acest principiu, care avea să devină principiul fundamental al lingvisticii moderne; Ils ont reconnu ce principe qui allait devenir le principe fondamental de la linguistique moderne. Past indefinite has been confronted with passé simple and perfectul simplu to illustrate historical continuity; with passé composé and perfectul compus it was confronted as an instance of divergence, which even today is not easy to account for. There are cases, when past indefinite corresponds to the form of imperfectul in Romanian, in spite of the fact, that an imperfect action expresses non-completion and duration of an action. It ought to be referred to past continuous, which has become so specifically durative, it is very often found to have a net semiotic connotation and past indefinite is increasingly used to denote not merely the point actions, but also those which require serious attention not only to the fact of its having taken place but also to the way it progressed - hence the tendency to equate in some contexts past indefinite with imparfait and imperfectul: He represented for her the reality of things; El reprezenta pentru ea realitatea vieții; Il représentait pour elle la réalité de la vie. It reminded me too much; Dar ea mi-l reamintea; Mais elles me le rappelait. Plainer people were in the ascendant; Oamenii mai simpli erau în ascensiunie; Les homes plus simples étaient in ascension; What he said about their development seemed quite sensible; Ce qu'il disait du développement de ces pays semblait plein de bon sens; Ceea ce spunea el despre dezvoltarea acestor tări părea plin de bun simţ. (ibidem:128) If the lexical meaning of the verb is not punctual past indefinite and imperfect are quite comparable and can readily take each other's place. It is essential to consider this question from the point of view of dual meaning of the English past indefinite. The imperfectul has a wider meaning in Romanian than the English continuous aspect forms may be explained by the fact that the latter appeared much later and its meaning is based not on aspectual opposition proper, but on a specific continuous aspect as a form which in most cases is metasemiotically loaded. Only a study of the collocational \_\_\_\_\_

situations can account for cases when past indefinite is confronted with past anteriority forms in the confronted languages:

All through the house it was a wakeful night; Casa întreagă petrecuse o noapte de veghe; Toute la maison avait passé un nuit blanche. He was an actor on the English stage; Fusese actor pe scena engleză; Il avait été un comédien sur la scène anglaise. There were no mushrooms; Ciuperci nu se făcuseră; Les champignons n'avaient pas poussé. After Michael was returned for Parliament, Fleur had sent him Sir James Foggart's book; Quand Michael fut élu dans le parlement, Fleur lui envoya le livre de Sir James Foggart; După ce Mihail fusese ales în parlament, Fleur îi trimisese cartea lui Sir James Foggart. (Goldsworthy)

What has been said here in the past indefinite form is in the relationship of anteriority with the preceding and the subsequent situations. The fact is that real anteriority may both find expression or remain unexpressed, in the way the appropriate forms are used. Everything depends on the purport of the utterance. Anteriority is closely connected, in the above given examples, with different predications of being. There are different ways of saying or expressing it but the less natural ones would be metasemiotically colored. The choice of this or that interpretation will depend on the idiomatic character of the language and the intention of the speaker. The real anteriority meaning may be grammatically expressed or it may be not. Other anteriority means (lexical, contextual or both) take over the function. It also depends on the intention of the speaker. For example: There were no mushrooms; Les champignons n'avaient pas poussé; Ciuperci nu se făcuseră. All through the house it was a wakeful night; Toute la maison avait passé une nuit blanche; Casa întreagă petrecuse o noapte de veghe. It is very important to take into consideration the fact that the category of anteriority is in close connection with various sociolinguistic situations. We can say in Russian: Грибов не было от Грибов не выросло от Всю ночь никто не спал. Let us consider the sentence There were no mushrooms, which was translated into French and Romanian as: Ciuperci nu se făcuseră; and Les champignons n'avaient pas poussé. If we approach this translation from the point of extralinguistic reality, then all the variants are identical. More than that, it would be much more natural to translate *There* were no mushrooms into Russian as He было грибов от Грибов не выросло. От: Грибы есть в лесу? - Hem. - A в воскресенье были грибы? - Не было грибов. There are various ways of expressing this idea, but a less natural of them gets a metasemiotic coloring. What has been said above is confirmed by examples, where the English past indefinite is confronted with present in Romanian and French: Linguistics was worked out within the framework of comparative grammar; Lingvistica se elaborează în cadrul gramaticii comparative; La linguistique s'élabore dans le cadres de la grammaire comparée. Why is it that the English version does not say "Linguistics is worked out within the framework of comparative grammar? (ibidem:130) In this case the English translator is not using present tense because simply he would not consider this as an idiomatically acceptable way of saying it or he considers the action as being true in al the times; present, future and past. It is also probable that in Romanian and French in such a case historical present may be used without expressing any connotation. In English historical present would invariably carry different semiotic overtones. For example: It is not always to be recognized in the different stages, sometimes tentative, in which Saussure's thought was engaged. Il n'est pas toujours facile de la reconnaître dans les démarches diverses, parfois tâtonnantes, ou s'engage

\_\_\_\_\_

la réflexion de Saussure. Nu este întotdeauna uşor de a recunoaşte la diferite etape, uneori tentative, unde se angajează gândirea lui Saussure. In contrast with French and Romanian, in English present tense would be unacceptable, because it is fraught with emotional coloring and vivacity, which in this context would be out of place. In Russian, in such cases, present tense is also used: A new phase developed at the beginning of nineteen century with the discovery of Sanskrit. One phase nouvelle s'ouvre au début du XIXe siècle avec la découverte du sanscrit. O fază nouă se începe la începutul secolului XIX odată cu descoperirea limbii sanscrit. Новая фаза открывается в XIX веке в связи с открытием санскрита. (ibidem: 137)

We find past perfect confronted with French passé simple, passé composé and even imparfait; and Romanian perfectul simplu, perfectul compus and imperfectul instead of plus-que-parfait and pluscvamperfectul. Thus: I replied unwittingly, and not at first observing (so much had I been absorbed in reflection) the extraordinary manner in which the speaker had chimed in with my meditation; Comme j'étais plongé dans mes pansées, je n'ai pas même remarqué des le commencement que les paroles de Dupin ont coïncidé avec mes pansées; Îngândurat cum eram, la început nici nu miam dat seama că vorbele lui Dupin au coincis întocmai cu gândurile mele.( E.Allan Poe)Why had the same expression not been employed in the intransitive perfect? Pourquoi la même tournure n'a-t-elle pas été employée au parfait intransitif? De ce na fost aceeași expresie folosită în perfectul intranzitiv? The grammatical anteriority used in the English sentences is not realized in the French and Romanian ones, because a contextual anteriority was quite enough for the given situations.. The confrontation of past perfect – imparfait – imperfectul: For one hour at least we had maintained a profound silence; Il avait déjà un heur que nous gardions le plus complet silence; De o oră noi păstram amândoi cea mai deplină tăcere. În this case the French and Romanian imperfect forms express anteriority lexically and contextually and the main grammatical meaning is that of durative action. The confrontation of past perfect passé antérieur – perfectul compus: When the king had disappeared the princes and princesses grouped themselves around the queen. Aussitôt que le roi eut disparu, tout ce qu'il y avait dans la sale de princes et de princesses vint se grouper autour de la reine. Îndată ce regele dispăru prinții și prințesele din sală se grupară în jurul reginei. (Dumas) Past perfect in English and passé antérieur in French are very close in meaning, both expressing past anteriority. *Perfectul compus* in its turn expresses here a simple past action, anteriority being expressed contextually. Anteriority grammatical form is becoming now peripheral and even facultative. In situations of ordinary, everyday speech it is very easy to do without it as in Romanian colloquial speech, where pluscuamperfectul is regularly substituted by perfectul compus and sometimes by imperfect (for a durative anterior action). The category of taxis does not need to be expressed by morphological means. It would be correct to say: "First I went to the University and then (later) I went to the library". The English present perfect action including the moment of speech is expressed by "présent" in French and "prezentul" in Romanian: It has been observed, indeed, that these are not equally subject to change; On observe, en effet, que ceux-ci ne sont pa également soumis au changement; Într-adevăr, se observă, că acestea nu sunt supuse unor schimbări". Any inclusive anterior action to the present moment is usually expressed in Romanian and French by means of present tense. There is a tendency in English, especially in the American variant, to use a non-perfect form instead of past perfect in colloquial speech, anteriority being expressed lexically or contextually. In the Romanian spoken language people would regularly substitute pluscvamperfectul with perfectul compus (in the

·

meaning of past indefinite), anteriority again is expressed lexically ("Când am venit ea deja a plecat" instead of "când am venit ea deja plecase").

Speaking of "false friends" in translating grammatical morphological forms we can mention the category of mood and modal words in general. The Romanian conditionalul and optativul categorical forms of mood are homonymous forms. Their categorical meanings can be expressed in Romanian by some other forms, which are polyfunctional and formally belong to different moods. The sentence *If I had had time I* would have come to help you yesterday corresponds to - Dacă aveam timp, veneam să te ajut ieri; (imperfectul modal in both cases); Dacă aș fi avut timp aș fi venit să te ajut ieri; (optativ, condițional); Să fi avut timp aș fi venit (veneam) să te ajut ieri (conjunctivul in the secondary clause). In English all of them correspond to the forms of Conditional and Subjunctive II. The English Infinitive also has several equivalents in Romanian: the infinitive, supinul and conjunctivul! The translator should be aware of what they have in common and in what functional styles they are preferreed. Thus, in case of conjunctivul, the criterion in singling it out as a separate "mood" in Romanian textbooks serves the verbal form with the particle sa. The research demonstrates that this grammatical form is polyfunctional and can be used in function of infinitive and some mood categorical forms:

- 1) Subjunctive I (or Old Subjunctive) Long live democracy! Să trăiască democrația! I insist that he come. Eu insist ca el să vină. It is necessary that he be (come) here in time. E necesar ca el să vină aici la timp.
- 2) Subjunctive II If I were you. Să fiu în locul dumitale... If I had had time yesterday... Să fi avut timp ieri...
- 3) It substitutes the infinitive in Romanian: They promised to help him. Ei au promis să-l ajute. To believe me capable of something like that! Să mă creadă capabil de așa ceva!
- 4) It is used in combinations like: *Let's sit and talk. Sa şedem şi să vorbim. He will come in time.*
- 5) After modal verbs: Even a child could understand. Şi un copil putea să înteleagă.
- 6) Future tense: What shall I do? Ce să fac? El are să vină la timp (colloquial);
- 7) To express supposition, including the meanings of suppositional mood: Might he have been here? Să fi fost el aici? Could I have lost it on my way home? Să-l fi pierdut în drum spre casă? I insist (order) that he should be present. Eu insist (ordon) ca el să fie prezent. It is necessary that he should be here. E necesar ca el să fie aici. (Melenciuc, 2008: 11-15)

Another example of polyfunctional polysemy and homonymy is the lexeme *should*.

- 1. Should+infinitive in the secondary clause of the type (it) is recommended (suggested, etc.) that..., in object clauses after modally charged verbs like to recommend, to suggest, to demand; in subject clauses, etc. Should in this case is used in the suppositional mood (which is synonymous to subjunctive I in the second meaning): The best thing the commission can do is to recommend that the Geneva conference should begin again with renewed energy.
- 2. The conditional clause with should + infinitive: Should the U.N. fail to produce an early settlement, are we then to wash our hands of the whole matter?
  - 3. Future in the past, 1st person: *I promised that I should come home in time*.

------

4. The modal should in various meanings. Obligation: *He said that she should be there*. Emotional emphatic function; attitude towards the event, etc.: *It is strange that he should be there*. (*ibidem:* 68-74) While translating from one language into another we have to remember the connotation and denotation of the given words or expressions, their grammatical contents, the importance of prosody in the realization of their lexical, lexical-grammatical and grammatical categorial meanings. Important is the rendering of modality (phonological, lexical, grammatical, stylistic and prosodic) both in the spoken and written language, etc. Translation is a science, skill and an art.

## **Bibliographical references**

Akhmanova, Olga, Melenciuc, Dumitru. *The Principles of Linguistic Confrontation*, Moscow: MSU, 1977.

Benveniste, Émile. Problèmes de linguistique générale, Paris : Gallimard, 1966.

- ---. Problems in General Linguistics, Florida: University of Miami Press, 1971.
- ---. Общая лингвистика, Москва: Прогресс 1974.

Mednicova, Elena. Translation as an Aspect of Foreign Language Studies, Moscow: MSU, 1976.

Melenciuc Dumitru. Comparativistics, Chişinău: CEP USM, 2003.

---. Comparative Grammar, Suport de curs (masterat). Chişinău: USM, 2008.