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#### Abstract

The crystal structures of ( $\mu$-4,4-bipyridine)-di(nitrato-1-[(2-hydroxyethylimino)methyl]naphtha-len-2-olocopper\} (I) and catena-di( $\mu$-4,4'-bipyridine) \{di( $\mu$-4,4'-bipyridine)-di(nitrato-2-[2-(hydroxyethylimino)methyl]phenolocopper) \}diaquacopper(II) nitrate (II) were determined. In the crystal of I, each of the two copper atoms coordinates a singly deprotonated tridentate azomethine molecule, a nitrate ion, and bipyridine, which functions as a bridge between the central atoms. The copper coordination polyhedron is a slightly distorted tetragonal pyramid with the base formed by the imine and bipyridine nitrogen atoms and the phenol and alcohol oxygen atoms. The axial site in the pyramid is occupied by the oxygen atom of the monodentate nitrate groups. In the trinuclear structure II with $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ crystal chemical symmetry, the terminal coordination unit is composed through copper coordination of monodeprotonated 2-[2-(hydroxyethylimino)methyl]phenol, bipyridine, and the nitrate anion. In the crystal, the trinuclear molecules form infinite ribbons along the $z$ axis in which the pyridine molecules perform the bridging function. The central copper atom has an octahedral configuration formed by the nitrogen atoms of four $4,4^{\prime}$-bipyridine molecules and oxygen of two water molecules.
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The amine-containing copper(II) complexes with 2-[2-(hydroxyethylimino)methyl]phenol have been studied in considerable detail [1]. They were mainly synthesized using pyridine and picolines as the starting compounds. Therefore, it was of interest to find out how the composition, structure, and the properties of coordination compounds would change upon replacement of these monoamines by an aromatic diamine. Therefore, we synthesized ( $\mu-4,4$ '-bipyridine)-di(nitrato-1-[(2-hydroxyethylimino)methyl]naphtha-len-2-olocopper\} $\left[\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{L}^{1}\right) \mathrm{Cu}\left(4,4^{4}-\mathrm{Bipy}\right) \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{L}^{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]$ (I) and catena-di $\left(\mu-4,4^{\prime}\right.$-bipyridine $)\left\{\mathrm{di}\left(\mu-4,4^{\prime}\right.\right.$-bipyri-dine)-di(nitrato-2-[2-(hydroxyethylimino)methyl]phenolocopper) $\}$ diaquacopper(II) nitrate $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}(4,4\right.$ 'Bipy $\left.)_{3}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (II) and studied the structural features of these complexes by X-ray diffraction.


## EXPERIMENTAL

Synthesis of I. A solution containing 2-hydroxy-1naphthaldehyde ( 10 mmol ), monoethanolamine ( 10 mmol ), and 4, $4^{\prime}$-bipyridine ( 5 mmol ) in ethanol ( 30 ml ) was added with continuous magnetic stirring and heating $\left(50-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ to a solution containing copper(II) nitrate trihydrate ( 10 mmol ) in ethanol ( 20 ml ). The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 5560 min . On cooling, dark green crystals precipitated; these were collected on a glass filter, washed with small amounts of ethanol and ether, and dried in air. The yield of the complex was $76 \%$. It was readily soluble in dimethylformamide and dimethyl sulfoxide, sparingly soluble in water and alcohols, and almost insoluble in ether. The composition of I was determined from the data of elemental analysis.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For } \mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{10}(\mathbf{I}) \\
& \text { anal. calcd, \%: } \quad \mathrm{C}, 51.74 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.86 ; \mathrm{Cu}, 15.21 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.06 \text {. } \\
& \text { Found, \%: C, } 51.50 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.58 ; \mathrm{Cu}, 15.00 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.85 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

IR $\left(v, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3290-3275 $v(\mathrm{OH})_{\text {alc }}, 1600 v(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$, $1530 \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})_{\text {phen }}, 1045 \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})_{\text {alc }}$, 515 and 430 $v(\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}), 470 \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O})$. Absorption bands of the inner-


Fig. 1. Structure of complex I.
sphere nitrate ions: $1290 v_{1}\left(A_{1}\right), 1025 v_{2}\left(A_{1}\right), 1530 v_{4}\left(B_{1}\right)$, $805 \mathrm{v}_{6}\left(B_{2}\right)$.

At room temperature ( 293 K ), the effective magnetic moment ( $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text {eff }}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{I}$ is $1.89 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$.

Synthesis of II. The complex was prepared in 78\% yield from copper(II) nitrate trihydrate, salicylaldehyde, monoethanolamine, and 4,4'-bipyridine taken in 3:6:6:4 molar ratio by the procedure similar to that described above for I. A compound of a similar composition was also isolated from the reaction mixture at a $1: 1: 1: 1$ molar ratio of the reactants (yield $54 \%$ ). The composition of II established from X-ray diffraction data was confirmed by elemental analysis.

For $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{18}($ II $)$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { anal. calcd, } \% \text { : } & \text { C, } 45.41 ; ~ H, 3.82 ; ~ \mathrm{Cu}, 15.02 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.24 . \\ \text { Found, \%: } & \text { C, 45.19; H, 3.70; Cu, 15.17; N, 13.01. }\end{array}$

IR ( $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3295-3280 $v(\mathrm{OH})_{\text {alc }}, 1605 \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$, $1540 \vee(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})_{\text {phen }}, 1050 \quad v(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})_{\text {alc }}$, 525 and 410 $v(\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}), 480 v(\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O})$. Absorption bands of the innersphere nitrate ions: $1295 v_{1}\left(A_{1}\right), 1030 v_{2}\left(A_{1}\right), 1525$ $\mathrm{v}_{4}\left(B_{1}\right), 800 \mathrm{v}_{6}\left(B_{2}\right)$ and the outer-sphere nitrate ions: $1345 \mathrm{v}_{3}(E), 870 \mathrm{v}_{2}\left(A_{2}\right), 725 \mathrm{v}_{2}(E)$, and $1040 \mathrm{v}_{1}(A)$.

## For II $\mu_{\text {eff }}=2.04 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$.

The single crystals of I and II suitable for X-ray diffraction were prepared by recrystallization of the compounds from ethanol.

The IR spectra of I and II were recorded on a Specord M80 spectrophotometer (mineral oil mulls).

The effective magnetic moments of compounds I an II were determined by the Gouy method. The molar magnetic susceptibility with diamagnetic correction
was calculated from the theoretical values of magnetic susceptibility of organic compounds.

X-Ray diffraction analysis of I and II was carried out at 293 K on Philips PW1100 ( $\mathrm{Mo} K_{\alpha}$ radiation, $\lambda=$ $0.71073 \AA$ ) and Siemens AED diffractometers ( $\mathrm{Cu} K_{\alpha}$ radiation, $\lambda=1.5418 \AA$ ), respectively. The structure was solved by the direct method and refined by the leastsquares method in the full-matrix anisotropic approximation (on $F^{2}$ ) for non-hydrogen atoms (SHELX-97) [2]. The hydrogen atoms were included in the refinement in the geometrically calculated positions, their thermal factors were taken to be 1.5 times higher than those of carbon or oxygen atoms bearing them.

The main X-ray experiment details for I and II are presented in Table 1, selected interatomic distances and bond angles are given in Table 2. The coordinates of the basis atoms of structures I and II are deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (no. 680563 and no. 680564). The geometric calculations were performed and the figures were drawn using PLATON software [3]; in the presented structural packings, only the hydrogen atoms involved in hydrogen bonds are shown. For analysis of structures I and II, CCDC data (version 5.28) were used [4, 5].

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The structure of compound $\mathbf{I}$ is based on a neutral dimer with the bidentate 4,4 '-bipyridine ligand performing the bridging function (Fig. 1). Each of the two copper atoms coordinates a singly deprotonated tridentate 1-[(2-hydroxyethylimino)methyl]-naphthalene-2ol molecule ( $\mathbf{L}^{1}$ ), a nitrate ion, and a bipyridine molecule, which acts as a bridge between the metals. In the dimer, the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ distance is $11.106 \AA$. The problem of whether the metal coordination polyhedron is a tetrag-

Table 1. Crystal data, X-ray experiment details, and structure refinement parameters for structures I and II

| Parameter | Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II |
| M | 833.74 | 1269.59 |
| Crystal system, space group; $Z$ | Orthorhombic; $\mathrm{Pca2}_{1} ; 4$ | Orthorhombic; Fdd2; 8 |
| $a, \AA$ | 22.957(5) | 26.196(5) |
| $b, \AA$ | 5.244(1) | 35.384(7) |
| $c, \AA$ | 28.417(6) | 11.073(2) |
| $V, \AA^{3}$ | 3421.0(1) | 10264(4) |
| $\rho(\exp ), \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.619 | 1.643 |
| $\mu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 21.37 | 13.20 |
| $T, \mathrm{~K}$ | 293(2) | 293(2) |
| Sample size, mm | $0.1 \times 0.11 \times 0.24$ | $0.15 \times 0.13 \times 0.25$ |
| Scan mode | $\omega-2 \theta$ | $\omega-2 \theta$ |
| $\theta_{\text {max }}$, deg | 70.03 | 25.00 |
| (Limits of reflection indices | $-27 \leq h \leq 9,-6 \leq k \leq 0,-34 \leq l \leq 34$ | $0 \leq h \leq 31,0 \leq k \leq 42,0 \leq l \leq 13$ |
| The number of reflections: Independent $\left(N_{1}\right)$ with $I>2 \sigma(I)\left(N_{2}\right)$ | 3266/1121 | 2339/816 |
| Weighting scheme | $\begin{gathered} w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0696 P)^{2}\right], \\ P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.1448 P)^{2}+31.00 P\right], \\ P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| The number of refined parameters | 487 | 374 |
| $R_{1} / w R_{2}$ for $N_{1}$ | 0.1905/0.1685 | 0.2314/0.0969 |
| $R_{1} / w R_{2}$ for $N_{2}$ | 0.065/0.1328 | 0.0659/0.0711 |
| $S$ | 0.792 | 0.721 |
| $\Delta \rho_{\text {min }} / \Delta \rho_{\text {max }}, e \AA^{-3}$ | $-0.317,0.428$ | -0.639, 0.510 |

onal pyramid (TP) or trigonal bipyramid (TBP) was solved using the index $\tau[6]: \tau=(\beta-\alpha) / 60$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the greatest angles between the bonds formed by the central atom. If $\tau=0$, the metal coordination is described as ideal TP; when $\tau=1$, as ideal TBP. For $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ atoms in $\mathbf{I}, \tau=0.01$, i.e., their coordination polyhedra are nearly ideal TP having volumes of 6.190 and $6.405 \AA^{3}$, respectively. The base of the coordination polyhedron is formed by the imine and bipyridine nitrogen atoms and phenol and alcohol oxygen atoms. The displacements of these atoms from their mean planes in the $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ polyhedra are 0.024 (0.020), 0.020 ( 0.017 ), -0.022 ( -0.018 ), and $-0.0215(-0.019) \AA$, respectively, and the deviation of the copper atom from this plane is $-0.053(-0.050) \AA$. The axial sites in the TP are occupied by the $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~N} 1)$ ( $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~N} 2)$ ) atoms of the monodentate nitrate groups.

In I the six-membered $\mathrm{CuNC}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ metal rings are slightly folded along the $\mathrm{O}(11) \cdots \mathrm{N}(11)$ and $\mathrm{O}(12) \cdots \mathrm{N}(12)$ lines. The dihedral angles between the planes $\mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{O}(11) \mathrm{N}(11), \quad \mathrm{O}(11) \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{C}(31) \mathrm{N}(11)$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(2) \mathrm{O}(12) \mathrm{N}(12), \mathrm{O}(12) \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{C}(32) \mathrm{N}(12)$ are $5.0^{\circ}$ and $4.9^{\circ}$, respectively. In the related compounds, $\operatorname{di}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{O}_{\text {phenoxy }}$ )-bis \{ nitrato-2-[2-(hydroxyethylimino)methyl]phenoloimidazolecopper(II) \} [7], nitrato-2-[2-(hydroxyethylimino)methyl]phenol-3-picolinecopper(II) [8], 3-hydroxypropylsalicylaldiminatocopper [9], the analogous dihedral angles are $20.6^{\circ}, 14.9^{\circ}$, and $22.7^{\circ}$, respectively. In nitrato-2-[2-(hydroxyethylimino)methyl]phenolocopper [10], nitrato-2-[2-(hydroxyeth-ylimino)methyl]phenolo-4-picolinecopper [11], 2-[2(hydroxyethylimino)methyl]phenolocopper [12], no such folding is observed. The five-membered $\mathrm{CuNC}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ metal rings in complex I have an envelope conformation, the
deviation of the $\mathrm{O}(22)$ atom from the $\mathrm{Cu}(2) \mathrm{N}(12) \mathrm{C}(82) \mathrm{C}(92)$ root-mean-square plane $( \pm 0.004-$ $0.009 \AA$ ) being equal to $0.300 \AA$ and the deviation of the $\mathrm{C}(92)$ atom from the $\mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{O}(11) \mathrm{N}(12) \mathrm{C}(82)$ root-meansquare plane $( \pm 0.009-0.018 \AA)$ being equal to $0.488 \AA$.

The complexes in crystal I separated by translation along the $y$ axis are combined by the $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{P})-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~N} 2)$ hydrogen bond into infinite ribbons. The ribbons are further connected through the nitrate group by the $\mathrm{C}(82)-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~N} 1)$ and $\mathrm{C}(122)-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~N} 2)$ hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2, Table 3). According to the criterion proposed previously [3] ( $\mathrm{Cg} \cdots \mathrm{Cg}<6.0 \AA$ ), $\pi-\pi$ stacking interaction occurs in the ribbons between the $\mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{O}(11) \mathrm{N}(11) \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{C}(31)$ and $\mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{C}(31) \mathrm{C}(41) \mathrm{C}(51) \mathrm{C}(61) \mathrm{C}(71)$ rings. The $\mathrm{Cg} \ldots \mathrm{Cg}$ distance between the centroids of these fragments is 3.747 Å.

The structure of II is based on a trinuclear molecule ( $C_{2}$ crystallographic symmetry) incorporating two nitrate ions (Fig. 3). The terminal coordination unit (at $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ ) is constructed through cooper coordination of the monodeprotonated 2-[2-(hydroxyethylimino)methyl]phenol ligand $\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$, bipyridine, and nitrate anion. The $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ central atom located on a twofold axis has an extended tetragonal bipyramidal coordination $(4+2)$ by the N atoms of four 4,4'-bipyridine molecules and two O atoms of water molecules. In crystal II, these fragments form infinite ribbons along the $z$ axis. The bipyridine molecules perform a bridging function (Fig. 4). The $\mathrm{Cu}(1) \cdots \mathrm{Cu}(2)$ distance is $11.111 \AA$, the $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ atoms in the ribbon are located $11.071 \AA$ apart from one another.

The $\mathrm{Cu}(1)$ coordination polyhedron in II is a distorted TP $(\tau=0.23)$ having a volume of $6.620 \AA^{3}$.The TP base is formed by the imine and bipyridine nitrogen atoms and the phenol and alcohol oxygen atoms. The displacements of these atoms from the mean plane are $0.142,0.132,-0.135$, and $-0.139 \AA$, respectively, and the deviation of the copper atom from this plane is $0.196 \AA$. The axial site in the TP is occupied by the $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~N} 1)$ atom of the monodentate nitrate group at a distance of $2.278(8) \AA$. The six-membered $\mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{NC}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ metal ring in II is slightly folded along the $\mathrm{O}(11) \cdots \mathrm{N}(11)$ line: the dihedral angle between the $\mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{O}(11) \mathrm{N}(11)$ and $\mathrm{O}(11) \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{C}(31) \mathrm{N}(11)$ planes is $3.7^{\circ}$. The benzene ring lies almost in the plane of the six-membered metal ring, the angle between the corresponding root-mean-square planes being $3.4^{\circ}$. The five-membered metal ring, $\mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{NC}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$, in II has an asymmetric gauche-conformation: the deviations of $\mathrm{C}(81)$ and $\mathrm{C}(91)$ atoms from the $\mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{O}(11) \mathrm{N}(11)$ plane are -0.37 and $0.21 \AA$, respectively.

The coordination polyhedron of $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ is an extended tetragonal bipyramid $(4+2)$ whose equatorial plane is formed by the atoms $\mathrm{N}(12), \mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{P}), \mathrm{N}(22)$, $\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{P})^{\mathrm{a}}(a=-x,-y+1, z)$. The apical sites in the $\mathrm{Cu}(2)$ coordination polyhedron are occupied by symmetrically connected $\mathrm{O}(1 w)$ and $\mathrm{O}(1 w)^{\mathrm{b}}$ atoms of water mol-

Table 2. Selected interatomic distances and bond angles for compounds I and II

| Bond | $d$, Å |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 1.87(3) | 1.954(6) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(21)$ | 2.01(3) | 2.032(6) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(11)$ | 1.90(3) | 1.918(7) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{P})$ | 2.03(3) | 1.974(6) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~N} 1)$ | 2.42(3) | 2.278(8) |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 1.37(4) | 1.338(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(91)$ | 1.35(5) | 1.472(9) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.28(4) | 1.254(12) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{C}(81)$ | 1.48(5) | 1.500(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 1.44(5) | 1.405(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 1.42(4) | 1.399(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(81)-\mathrm{C}(91)$ | 1.500(3) | 1.437(11) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{O}(12)(-\mathrm{N}(22))$ | 1.94(3) | 1.989(12) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{N}(12)$ | 1.91(3) | 2.020(11) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{P})$ | 1.98(3) | 2.032(6) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{O}(22)$ | 2.01(3) |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~N} 2)(-\mathrm{O}(1 w))$ | 2.46(3) | 2.629(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 1.30(5) |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)-\mathrm{C}(92)$ | 1.44(4) |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.31(5) | 1.338(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(12)-\mathrm{C}(82)$ | 1.46(4) |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.44(6) | 1.410(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 1.40(5) | 1.368(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(82)-\mathrm{C}(92)$ | 1.50(3) |  |
| Angle |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(11) \mathrm{Cu}(1) \mathrm{N}(11)$ | 93.1(12) | 92.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(31) \mathrm{O}(11) \mathrm{Cu}(1)$ | 129.6(19) | 126.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{N}(11) \mathrm{Cu}(1)$ | 123(3) | 126.2(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(81) \mathrm{N}(11) \mathrm{Cu}(1)$ | 117(2) | 111.9(7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11) \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{C}(21)$ | 132(3) | 127.6(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(31) \mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{C}(11)$ | 117(3) | 124.4(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(11) \mathrm{C}(31) \mathrm{C}(21)$ | 123(3) | 122.5(9) |
| $\mathrm{N}(11) \mathrm{C}(81) \mathrm{C}(91)$ | 106(3) | 107.5(8) |
| $\mathrm{O}(21) \mathrm{C}(91) \mathrm{C}(81)$ | 113(4) | 111.3(8) |
| $\mathrm{N}(12) \mathrm{Cu}(2) \mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{P})$ | 173.8(16) | 90.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12) \mathrm{Cu}(2) \mathrm{O}(22)$ | 174.2(11) |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(12) \mathrm{Cu}(2) \mathrm{O}(22) \mathrm{O}(1 w)$ | 81.7(13) | 87.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{P}) \mathrm{Cu}(2) \mathrm{O}(22) \mathrm{N}(22)$ | 93.5(11) | 89.5(7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{P}) \mathrm{Cu}(2) \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~N} 2) \mathrm{O}(1 w)$ | 86.8(13) | 90.0(5) |



Fig. 2. Packing of structural units in crystal I.
ecules ( $b=1 / 2-x, 1 / 2-y, z$ ). The volume of the tetragonal bipyramid equals $14.262 \AA^{3}$.

The infinite ribbons in the crystal of II are combined into a three-dimensional framework by the system of hydrogen bonds involving the coordinated water molecules and nitrate groups (Fig. 4, Table 3). According to the criterion proposed previously [3] ( $\mathrm{Cg} \cdots \mathrm{Cg}<6.0 \AA$ ), $\pi-\pi$ stacking interaction occurs in the ribbons connected by a $2_{1}$ screw axis $(1 / 2-x,-y, 1 / 2+z)$ between
the $\quad \mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{P}) \mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{P}) \mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{P}) \mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{P}) \mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{P}) \mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{P}) \quad$ and $\mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{C}(31) \mathrm{C}(41) \mathrm{C}(51) \mathrm{C}(61) \mathrm{C}(71)$ rings. The $\mathrm{Cg} \ldots \mathrm{Cg}$ distance between the centroids of these fragments is $3.669 \AA$. In compound II, Y-X $\cdots \mathrm{Cg}$ interaction ( $\pi$-ring) also occurs (X‥Cg $<4.0 \AA, \gamma<30.0^{\circ}$, where $\gamma$ is the angle between the bond vector and the normal to the aromatic ring [3]). For the interaction $\mathrm{N}(1 \mathrm{~N} 2)-$ $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~N} 2) \cdots \mathrm{Cg} \quad\left(\mathrm{N}(12) \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{C}(32) \mathrm{C}\left(12^{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mathrm{C}\left(22^{\mathrm{b}}\right)\right)$, the $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~N} 2) \cdots \mathrm{Cg}$ distance is $3.200 \AA$ and the $\gamma$ angle is $18.9^{\circ}$.

Table 3. Geometric parameters of hydrogen bonds for compounds I and II

| Contact D-H..A | Distance, $\AA$ |  |  | DHA angle, deg | Coordinates of A atom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D $\cdots \mathrm{A}$ | H $\cdots \mathrm{A}$ | D-H |  |  |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{P})-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{PA}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~N} 2)$ | 3.31(1) | 2.53 | 0.93 | 142 | $x,-1+y, z$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(82)-\mathrm{H}(82 \mathrm{~B}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~N} 1)$ | 3.13(2) | 2.40 | 0.97 | 132 | $-x, 1-y,-1 / 2+z$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(122)-\mathrm{H}(122) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~N} 2)$ | $3.26(2)$ | 2.57 | 0.93 | 131 | $-1 / 2+x, 3-y, z$ |
| II |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 w)-\mathrm{H}(1 w 1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~N} 2)$ | 2.90(1) | 2.24 | 0.82 | 137 | $1 / 4+x, 1 / 4-y, 1 / 4+z$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 w)-\mathrm{H}(1 w 2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(11)$ | 2.95(2) | 2.15 | 0.82 | 167 | $1 / 4+x, 1 / 4-y, 1 / 4+z$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{P})-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{P}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~N} 2)$ | 3.35 (1) | 2.54 | 0.93 | 146 | $x, y, 1+z$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8 \mathrm{P})-\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{P}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~N} 1)$ | 3.27 (1) | 2.43 | 0.93 | 151 | $1 / 4-x, 1 / 4+y, 1 / 4+z$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(62)-\mathrm{H}(62) \cdots \mathrm{O}(1 w)$ | 3.15(1) | 2.41 | 0.93 | 136 | $1-x, 1-y,-z$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(91)-\mathrm{H}(91 B) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~N} 1)$ | 3.25 (1) | 2.47 | 0.97 | 137 | $1 / 4+x,-1 / 4-y,-1 / 4+z$ |



Fig. 3. Structure of complex II.


Fig. 4. Packing of structural units in crystal II.

Thus, the study showed that the replacement of pyridine or picoline by $4,4^{\prime}$-bipyridine in amine-containing copper(II) nitrate complexes with 1-[(2-hydroxyeth-ylimino)methyl]naphthalen-2-ol results in the formation of only binuclear coordination compounds, while replacement of the naphthalidene fragment of azomethine by salicylidene fragment promotes the formation of coordination polymers. In all compounds studied, systems of weak contacts, H -bonds or stacking and $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y} \cdots \mathrm{Cg}(\pi$-ring) interactions, were observed.
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